

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,122	07/18/2003	Jacques Hubert Olga Joseph Wijenberg	APV31638	2328
32291 75	90 06/16/2006		EXAMINER	
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			SAVAGE, JASON L	
710 LAKEWAY SUITE 200	YDRIVE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUNNYVALE,	, CA 94085		1775	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u></u>		/ /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,122	WIJENBERG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason L. Savage	1775	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. uply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this commandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matte	• •	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-58 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apority documents have been of u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/622,122

Art Unit: 1775

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-15, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 646.
- II. Claims 16-42, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 532.
- III. Claims 43-58, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 594.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of Group I as claimed could be made by a materially different process such as by forming the aluminum-silicon having the diffusion coated nickel-tin layer thereon which is subsequently coupled to the core layer as opposed to the method of Group II requires that the core and aluminum-silicon layer are coupled to form a base substrate prior to forming the nickel and tin coatings and subsequently diffusion annealing.

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as brazing sheet which would be stored until such time it is needed to form an assembly wherein the components are joined by the brazing sheet. The

Application/Control Number: 10/622,122

/OOMIO/ Humbon: 10/022,12

Art Unit: 1775

inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the inventions differ in that Group II is drawn to a method of forming a brazing sheet whereas Group III is related to an assembly formed by a method of joining components using a brazing sheet.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 1775

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason L. Savage whose telephone number is 571-272-1542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,122

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason Savage

6-12-06

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5